| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/743,109 | DASSEUX ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Paul A. Zucker | 1621 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>John H. Engelmann</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 25 July 2007 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 27-49 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The Examiner called Mr. Engelmann to request authorization car rejoinder. Mr. Engelmann gave authorization for an Examiner's A | ncel non-elected claims 27-49 for | which Applicants' had a right to |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| • | | |
| | • | • |
| | • | • |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |